

## REES MODULES, END OF ASSOCIATED GRADED MODULES AND REDUCTION NUMBER

NASER ZAMANI

Faculty of Science  
 University of Mohaghegh Ardabili  
 Ardabil, Iran  
 naserzaka@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT. For a finitely generated module  $M$  over a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ , let  $R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{b}^n M$  (resp.  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{b}^n M / \mathfrak{b}^{n+1} M$ ) denote the Rees module of  $M$  associated to the ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $A$  (resp. the associated graded module of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{b}$ ). In this talk we study some properties of such modules. Some independence results about the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  will be investigated. We also prove that for  $g = \text{grade}(G(\mathfrak{b})_+, G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < \min\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}, M), \lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M)\}$  the inequality  $a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < a_{g+1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  holds, where  $G(\mathfrak{b})_+$  denotes the irrelevant ideal of associated graded ring  $G(\mathfrak{b})$ ,  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M)$  is the analytic spread of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  and  $a_i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  is the end of graded module  $H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  for  $i = g, g + 1$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. In [2], Marley proved that if  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then for an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ , we have  $a_g(G(\mathfrak{b})) < a_{g+1}(G(\mathfrak{b}))$ , where  $g = \text{grade} G(\mathfrak{b})_+ \leq \dim A - 1$ . We will give here an extension of this result to the case of associated graded modules without any assumptions on the ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . In order to explain the main result of the paper we need some notations. We denote by  $R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$  (resp. by  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ ) the Rees module of  $M$  associated to  $\mathfrak{b}$  (resp. the associated graded module of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{b}$ ), namely

$$R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{b}^n M \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{b}^n M / \mathfrak{b}^{n+1} M.$$

In the case where  $M = A$  we denote it by  $R(\mathfrak{b})$  (resp. by  $G(\mathfrak{b})$ ) and call it the Rees algebra (resp. the associated graded ring) of  $\mathfrak{b}$  simply. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of  $G(\mathfrak{b})$ . Then following [1, Definition 4.5.7], the *analytic spread* of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  is defined to be  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) = \dim(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) / \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = \dim(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) / \mathfrak{m}G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . Note that  $\text{ht}_M \mathfrak{b} \leq \lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) \leq d = \dim M$ . For simplicity, we always assume that the residue field  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  is infinite.

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 13A30, 13D45.

**keywords and phrases:** associated graded rings and modules, graded local cohomology, reduction number and analytic spread of an ideal relative to a module.

Recall from [1, Definition 4.6.4] that an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$  is called a *reduction* of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  if  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{n-1}M = \mathfrak{b}^nM$  for some positive integer  $n$ . We denote by  $r_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$  the least integer  $n$  with this property. A reduction  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  is called a *minimal reduction* if it does not properly contain any other reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$ . Since  $k$  is infinite, it is well known that the minimal reductions relative to  $M$  always exist (see [4, Section 4]). We define the *reduction number* of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  by

$$r(\mathfrak{b}, M) = \min\{r_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}, M) : \mathfrak{a} \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathfrak{b} \text{ relative to } M\}.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $ht_M \mathfrak{b} > 0$ . Then  $R(M)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module if and only if  $[H_{\mathfrak{M}}^i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$  for all  $i < d, n \neq -1$  and  $\text{end}(H_{\mathfrak{M}}^d(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))) < 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) = ht_M \mathfrak{b} = 0$  or  $d$  and assume that  $R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $r(\mathfrak{b}, M) = \text{reg}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ , that is the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  is independent of the choice of minimal reduction.*

*Proof.* The case  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) = 0$  is clear. So let  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) = d$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{\lambda}) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}^* = (a_1^*, \dots, a_{\lambda}^*)$  is a minimal reduction of  $G(\mathfrak{b})_+$  relative to  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$  and  $r_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}, M) = r_{\mathfrak{a}^*}(G(\mathfrak{b})_+, G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . We note that by Theorem 2.1  $[H_{\mathfrak{M}}^i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$  for all  $i < d$  and  $n \neq -1$ . Then by [4, Corollary 3.12], the ideal  $G(\mathfrak{b})_+$  is standard relative to  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ . Therefore in view of [4, Proposition 3.1],  $a_1^*, \dots, a_{\lambda}^*$  is a  $d$ -sequence of  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ . Hence we get  $r_{\mathfrak{a}^*}(G(\mathfrak{b})_+, G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = \text{reg}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . So  $r_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{b}, M) = \text{reg}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  and the result follows in this case.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  and let  $x \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_M$  such that  $x^*$  is a  $G(M)$ -regular element. Then  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}/(x), M/xM) = \lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) - 1$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $g = \text{grade}(G(\mathfrak{b})_+, G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < \min\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}, M), \lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M)\}$ . Then  $a_g(G(M)) < a_{g+1}(G(M))$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $g \geq 0$ . In the case  $g = 0$ , we consider the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)_+ \longrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

of graded  $R(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules. Since  $[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(M)]_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ ,

$$[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)_+(1))]_{n-1} = [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)_+)]_n \cong [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n$$

for  $n \neq 0$ . Now from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)_+(1) \longrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and using the fact that  $H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = 0$  (because of  $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}, M) > 0$ ), we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n \rightarrow [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n+1} \rightarrow$$

$$(1) \quad [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n \rightarrow [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n.$$

Set  $a = a_1(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = \text{end}(H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)))$ . (note that  $H_{G_+}^i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) \cong H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .) Then from the exact sequence (1) we have the epimorphism

$$[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n+1} \longrightarrow [H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all  $n > a$ . But we have  $[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^i(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$  for large values of  $n$ , hence  $[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^1(R_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$  for all  $n > a$ . We claim that  $a > 0$ . Suppose the contrary  $a \leq 0$ . Then it follows from the exact sequence (1) that  $[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . This yields the contradiction  $H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = 0$ . (Here it should be noted that  $H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  as a submodule of  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$  has no negative homogeneous components and because of  $g = 0$  we have

$$H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) \neq 0.)$$

So  $a > 0$ . If we consider once again the sequence (1) we see that

$$[H_{R(\mathfrak{b})_+}^0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n = 0$$

for  $n \geq a$ , which gives that  $a_0(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) \leq a - 1 < a_1(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . So the result follows in the case  $g = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $g > 0$  and that the result has been proved for smaller values of  $g$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}$  relative to  $M$  and let  $x \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{ma}$  such that  $x^* \in G(\mathfrak{b})_+$  is a  $G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ -regular element. Then by the previous lemma we have  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}/(x), M/xM) = \lambda(\mathfrak{b}, M) - 1$ . Furthermore

$$\text{grade}(G(\mathfrak{b}/(x))_+, G_{\mathfrak{b}/(x)}(M/xM)) = g - 1$$

and  $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}/(x), M/xM) \geq g$ . We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)(-1) \xrightarrow{x^*} G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \rightarrow G_{\mathfrak{b}/(x)}(M/xM) \cong G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

to deduce the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^{g-1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n &\rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n-1} \rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n \\ &\rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n \rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^{g+1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

of  $A/\mathfrak{b}$ -modules.

Now by the induction hypothesis,  $a_{g-1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . Let  $n \geq a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . Then from the above exact sequence we get the monomorphism

$$0 \longrightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n-1} \rightarrow [H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_n,$$

which gives that  $[H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{n-1} = 0$  for all  $n \geq a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . This means that  $a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) - 1$ . Therefore, it follows from the above exact sequence that  $[H_{G(\mathfrak{b})_+}^{g+1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))]_{a'-1} \neq 0$ , where  $a' = a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$ . So  $a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) < a_g(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)/x^*G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) - 1 \leq a_{g+1}(G_{\mathfrak{b}}(M))$  and the desired result follows.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] T. Marley, *The reduction number of an ideal and the local cohomology of the associated graded ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993) 335-341.
- [3] N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponents and degree bound for the defining equations of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987) 229-236.
- [4] N. V. Trung, *Towards a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Nagoya Math. J. 102 (1986) 1-49.